1	W. JAMES YOUNG, Esq.		
2	GLENN M. TAUBMAN, Esq. (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) c/o National Right to Work Legal		
3	Defense Foundation, Inc. 8001 Braddock Road, Suite 600		
4	Springfield, Virginia 22160 (703) 321-8510		
5	FACSIMILE — (703) 321-9319		
6	SCOTT A. WILSON, Esq. California Bar No. 073187		
7	711 8 th Avenue, Suite C		
	San Diego, California 92101 (619) 234-9011		
8	FACSIMILE — (619) 234-5853		
9	Attorneys For Defendant-Intervenor		
10	United States District Court		
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	Service Employees International Union,	Case No. 3:07-cv-2766 PJH	
14	Local 790,		
15	Plaintiff,	[Proposed] Order Granting Intervention	
16	v.	HEARING DATE: Wednesday, 11 July	
17	JOSEPH P. NORELLI, Individually, and in his	2007 Тіме: 9:00 а.m.	
	capacity as Regional Director, National Labor Relations Board, Region 20; et al.,	COURTROOM OF JUDGE HAMILTON, COURTROOM 3, 17TH FLOOR	
18		COURTROOM 3, 17111 FLOOR	
19	Defendants.		
20			
21	The motion to intervene filed by Stephen J. Burke, Jr. ("Burke") came on for hearing before		
22	this Court on Wednesday, 11 July 2007, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 3 of the United States District		
23	Court for the Northern District of 25 California, located at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, 16th Floor, San		
24	Francisco, California. Having considered all papers filed in support of and in opposition to the motion		
25	the authorities submitted by Burke, and all other matters presented, and for the reasons set forth in this		
26	order, this Court hereby GRANTS that motion.		
27	In this action, Plaintiff Service Employees International Union, Local 790 ("Local 790"), seeks		
28	to enjoin the NLRB from holding the deauthorization	election that Burke sought in Covenant Aviation	

1 Security, LLC, 349 NLRB No. 67 (2007). Burke has demonstrated that he meets the requirements of 2 Rule 24(a), FED.R.CIV.P., which governs intervention as of right in federal lawsuits. 3 First, Burke's motion is timely, having been filed within one week after the initiation of this 4 action and prior to any discovery, motions, or case management conferences. 5 Second, the challenged deauthorization election was filed by Burke, and he has demonstrated 6 an interest in defending that election process. He has standing to pursue his interests. *Automobile* 7 Workers Local 283 v. Scofield, 382 U.S. 205 (1965) (individual employees have standing to intervene 8 in appellate proceedings concerning the unfair labor practice charges they file with the NLRB). 9 Third, the relief sought by Local 790, an injunction against the holding of the election sought by Burke, would impede Burke's exercise of his statutory rights under 29 U.S.C. § 159(e). **10** 11 Fourth, Plaintiff Local 790 has interests contrary to Burke. Defendants represent the interests **12** of the Federal government and the public at large and therefore may not adequately represent Burke's 13 interests. See Forest Conservation Council v. United States Forest Service, 66 F.3d 1489, 1489 (9th 14 CIR.1995). 15 Even if Burke was not entitled to intervene as of right, he has fulfilled the requirements set 16 forth in Rule 24(b), FED.R.CIV.P., for permissive intervention, in that he seeks to address a common 17 question of law (whether the NLRB should be enjoined under the narrow Leedom v. Kyne doctrine 18 from conducting his deauthorization election, based solely upon the timing of his "showing of interest" 19 under 29 U.S.C. § 159(e)). His intervention will neither delay nor prejudice the rights of the original 20 parties. Defendants do not oppose Burke's intervention. 21 For these reasons, Burke may intervene as of right pursuant to Rule 24(a), FED.R.CIV.P. 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 2007 DATED: 24 25 HON. PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge **26** 27 H:\WP\California Cases\Burke.CA\Intervention\Order.prp.wpd Thursday, 31 May 2007, 15:37:48 PM 28

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I hereby certify that true and correct copies of the foregoing [Proposed] Order Granting		
3	Motion for Leave to Intervene by Stephen J. Burke, Jr., were deposited in the United States Mail		
4	first class postage prepaid, and sent via e-mail, addressed to:		
5	Joseph Norelli, Regional Director National Labor Relations Board, Region 20		
6	901 Market Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, California 94103		
7	E-Mail: Joseph.Norelli@nlrb.gov		
8	Anthony S. Graefe, Esq. Graefe & Hansen, Ltd.		
9	55 West Monroe Street, Suite 3550 Chicago, Illinois 60603		
10	E-Mail: Graefe@ghemployerlaw.com		
11	David A. Rosenfeld, Esq. Vincent A. Harrington, Jr., Esq.		
12	Weinberg, Roger & Rosenfeld, P.C. 1001 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 200		
13	E-Mail: DRosenfeld@unioncounsel.net; vharrington@unioncounsel.net Eric G. Moskowitz, Esq.		
1415			
National Labor Relations Board			
17	Washington, D.C. 20570 E-Mail: Dawn.Goldstein@nlrb.gov; Eric.Moskowitz@nlrb.gov		
18	this 31st day of May, 2007.		
19	/s/ W. James Young		
20	W. James Young		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			